

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,988	07/23/2001	Shigeru Tanaka	Q64671	1372
75	90 07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			PRONE, JASON D	
2100 Pennsylva	nia Avenue, NW			DARED MAKEE
Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			D. ME ED . 05/00/000	ā

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ou
	09/909,988	TANAKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason Prone	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commodities. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ag 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-8 and 10-26</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,4,11,13,14,and 16-</u> 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,6-8,10,12 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	21 is/are withdrawn from consided.	eration.	
Application Papers			·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National St	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Preferences Cited (1 10-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail		(52)

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wakai et al.

Wakai et al. discloses the invention including an apparatus of estimating a lifetime of a cutter (Abstract), a detector for detecting a value of a current loaded on the motor, a comparator (62), an output element (Column 3 third paragraph), that the comparator determines if the cutter is unfit for use (Column 3 third paragraph), that the comparator is in a microcomputer (18), and a motor driving the cutter (22). The examiner notes that current is measured in amps and an ammeter is used to measure amps therefore it is inherent that an ammeter would be present.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakai et al. as applied to claim 1 above. Wakai et al. discloses the invention but fails to disclose

Art Unit: 3724

the use of a visual display, however, official notice is taken that the use of an output element comprising a visual display is old and well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Wakai et al. with an output element comprising a visual display for an easier way of seeing the output element.

- 5. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakai et al. as applied to claim 1 above, in further view of Kiyohara et al.. Wakai et al. discloses the invention including the apparatus for estimating the lifetime of a cutter (see above) but fails to disclose a fixed blade, a movable blade that is movable along the fixed blade, a receiving element that is movable together with the movable blade, a support for supporting the movable blade and a support for supporting the receiving element, and that the supports are intergral with one another. Kiyohara et al. teaches a fixed blade (94), a movable blade that is movable along the fixed blade (42), a receiving element that is movable together with the movable blade (82), a support for supporting the movable blade (80) and a support for supporting the receiving element (80), and that the supports are intergral with one another (Fig. 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Wakai et al. with the cutting apparatus, at taught by Kiyohara et al., to allow for the cutting of a flexible web.
- 6. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakai et al. as applied to claims 1, 3, and 6-8 above. In light of the apparatus rejection, the method is inherent.

Art Unit: 3724

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 6-8, 10, 12, and 22-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nishimura et al., Tanaka, and Kohda et al.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3724

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP July 23, 2004

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700